United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE	D S	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION	
V.			PENDING TRIAL	
Kevin Charles Miller			Case Number: 1:09 MJ 334	
facts re		accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. the detention of the defendant pending trial in	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following this case.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense des offense state or local offense that would h jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C an offense for which the maximum senter		
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparting of local offense. A period of not more than five years has elaps imprisonment for the offense described in find Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebut	endant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described arable state or local offenses. nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state seed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from ing (1). table presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will son(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not	
\boxtimes	, ,	There is probable cause to believe that the de ⊠ for which a maximum term of imprisonme ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	rnate Findings (A) fendant has committed an offense nt of ten years or more is prescribed in 18 USC sec. 2251 (a) on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
<u> </u>	(-)	will reasonably assure the appearance of the	defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
		There is a serious risk that the defendant will	rnate Findings (B) not appear. endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
	l fir		tement of Reasons for Detention ubmitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
def		•	ne record. Detention is ordered on the basis of the unrebutted presumption	
the Uni	ions tons tons tons the tons to the tons t	e defendant is committed to the custody of the A facility separate, to the extent practicable, from e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppo states or on request of an attorney for the Gove	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the confidence in connection with a court proceeding.	
June 0	2, 20	009	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
Date			Signature of Judge	
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge	